

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,973		10/27/2000	Jose' C. Brustoloni	10-6 8303	
22046	7590	06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		DLOGIES INC.	TRAN, ELLEN C		
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR 101 CRAWFORDS CORNER ROAD - ROOM 3J-219				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOLMDEL	, NJ 077	3		2134	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				\mathcal{A}				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	70				
		09/698,973	BRUSTOLONI ET AL.	1				
Office Action Sur	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ellen C Tran	2134					
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is le - If NO period for reply is specified above, to - Failure to reply within the set or extended	COMMUNICATION. r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 ate of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply when maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, cuthree months after the mailing di	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONEI ate of this communication, even if timely filed.	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 27_Oct	<u>ober 2000</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ction is non-final.						
•—	condition for allowance	e except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with	n the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are reject	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)⊠ accep	oted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request t	hat any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	•	n is required if the drawing(s) is obj						
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certification from the	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the priorit e International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicati y documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
	Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not receive	NORMANIA WRIGHT PRIMARY EXAMINER					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)	2)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ring Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) A Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication: original application filed
 October 2000, with acknowledgement of continuing date 28 October 1999.

2. Claims 1-27 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, and 21 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language
- 4. Claims 1-4, 10-13, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Borella et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,697,354 (hereinafter '354).

As to independent claim 1, "A method comprising: receiving a request from a client at a network address translator (NAT) that defines for a protocol not directly supported by the NAT" is taught in '354 col. 3 line 64 through col. 4, line 15;

"a generalized port number (GPN) associated with that unsupported protocol and its location in each packet" is shown in '354 col. 13, lines 15-40;

Application/Control Number: 09/698,973

Art Unit: 2134

"creating an entry in a translation table of the NAT that defines for that protocol an association between a client's private IP address and GPN, a NAT's assigned global IP address and GPN, and a foreign IP address" is disclosed in '354 col. 13, lines 47-53;

"said entry being used for translating in outgoing packets received by the NAT from the client using that protocol and having the foreign IP address as their destination, the client's private source IP address and GPN to the NAT's global IP address and GPN, respectively" is taught in '354 col. 14, lines 52-63;

"and for translating in incoming packets sent from the foreign IP address using that protocol to the NAT's global destination IP address and GPN, the NAT's global destination IP address and GPN to the client's private destination IP address and GPN, respectively" is shown in '354 col. 13, line 64 through col. 14, line 6.

As to independent claim 2, this claim contains substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 1 and is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 3, this claim contains substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 1 and is rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 4, "herein the entry further defines an expiration time until which the entry is valid for translating packets" is disclosed in '354 col. 4, lines 14-15.

As to independent claim 10, this claim is directed to the translator of method 1 is rejected along the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,973 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

As to independent claim 11, this claim is directed to the translator of method 2 is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 12, this claim is directed to the translator of method 3 is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 19, this claim is directed to a computer readable medium of method 1 is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 20, this claim is directed to a computer readable medium of method 2 is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 21, this claim is directed to a computer readable medium of method 3 is rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 13 and 22 these claim contains substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 4 above and are rejected along the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-9, and 14-18, 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '354 as applied to claims 1-3, 10-12, and 19-21 in further view of Devine et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,631,402 (hereinafter '402).

As to dependent claim 5, the following is not taught in '354 "wherein the unsupported protocol is a protocol in the IP Security (IPSec) security protocol suite" however '402 teaches "a secure TCP/IP communications link 22 is established to one of several Web servers" in col. 9, lines 6-18.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify dynamic network translation taught in '354 to include a means to maintain establish secure internet sessions. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to increase user flexibility see '402' (col. 2, lines 3 et seq.) "It would also be highly desirable to provide a Intranet/Internet/Webbased reporting system infrastructure capable of proving for the secure initiation, acquisition and presentation of customer from any computer workstation running a browser located anywhere in the world".

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein the unsupported protocol in the IPSec security suite is the Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP) and the GPN is an initiator cookie leased from the NAT to be unique to the client" is taught in '402 col. 33, line 50 through col. 34, line 15 "The next protion indicates the Session key 125 which is the unique session key of "cookie" provided by the Web browser".

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein the leased initiator cookie is chosen by the NAT to be used as both the client's GPN and the NAT's GPN" is disclosed in '402 col. 34, lines 16-25 "Additionally, the common protocol header section includes an

indication of dispatcher-assigned serial number 135 that is unique across all dispatcher

Page 6

process and needs to be coordinated across processes (like the Web cookie".

As to dependent claim 8, "wherein the unsupported protocol in the IPSec security suite is the AH or ESP protocol in either the tunnel or transport modes, and the GPN is an incoming Security Parameter Index (SPI) leased from the NAT to be unique to the client" is taught in '402 col. 15, line 50 through col. 16. line 11 "In preliminary steps, a user first establishes communication with the DMZ Web server at step 602 and longs on to the nMCI Interact system ... to perform security validation and authenticate the user ID and password ... It is understood that all communication to the StarOE server is via TCP/IP with a Unix process listening on a known TCP port"

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein the leased SPI is chosen by the NAT to be used as both the client's GPN and the NAT's GPN" is shown in '402 col. 34, lines 16-25 "Additionally, the common protocol header section includes an indication of dispatcher-assigned serial number 135 that is unique across all dispatcher process and needs to be coordinated across processes (like the Web cookie".

As to dependent claims 14-18 and 23-27 these claim contains substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 5-9 above and are rejected along the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,973

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

Ellen Tran,
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
18 May 2004

NORMAN M. WRIGHT

Page 7